

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND  
RESEARCH**

*APPLICATION NUMBER:*

**212122Orig1s000**

**PROPRIETARY NAME REVIEW(S)**

---

## **PROPRIETARY NAME REVIEW**

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (DMEPA)  
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)  
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

**\*\*\* This document contains proprietary information that cannot be released to the public\*\*\***

---

<b>Date of This Review:</b>	April 16, 2020
<b>Application Type and Number:</b>	NDA 212122
<b>Product Name and Strength:</b>	Breztri Aerosphere (budesonide, glycopyrrolate, and formoterol fumarate) Inhalation Aerosol, 160 mcg/9 mcg/4.8 mcg per inhalation
<b>Product Type:</b>	Combination Product (Drug-Device)
<b>Rx or OTC:</b>	Prescription (Rx)
<b>Applicant/Sponsor Name:</b>	AstraZeneca
<b>Panorama #:</b>	2020-37342373
<b>DMEPA Safety Evaluator:</b>	Lissa C. Owens, PharmD
<b>DMEPA Team Leader:</b>	Ashleigh Lowery, PharmD, BCCCP

---

## Contents

1	INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1	Regulatory History .....	1
1.2	Product Information .....	1
2	RESULTS.....	1
2.1	Misbranding Assessment .....	1
2.2	Safety Assessment.....	2
3	CONCLUSION .....	5
3.1	Comments to the Applicant/Sponsor .....	5
4	REFERENCES .....	7
	APPENDICES .....	8

## 1 INTRODUCTION

This review evaluates the proposed proprietary name, Breztri Aerosphere, from a safety and misbranding perspective. The sources and methods used to evaluate the proposed proprietary name are outlined in the reference section and Appendix A respectively. AstraZeneca did not submit a new external name study for this proposed proprietary name.

### 1.1 REGULATORY HISTORY

The Applicant previously submitted the proposed proprietary name, Breztri Aerosphere, on December 13, 2018. The Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care (DPACC) found the name, Breztri Aerosphere\*\*\* acceptable.<sup>a</sup> However, the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care (DPACC) issued a Complete Response (CR) for the application on September 30, 2019. The Applicant re-submitted the application on January 18, 2020, and submitted the proposed proprietary name, Breztri Aerosphere, for review on January 23, 2020.

### 1.2 PRODUCT INFORMATION

The following product information is provided in the proprietary name submission received on January 23, 2020.

- Intended Pronunciation: BREZ-tree AIR-oh-sfeer
- Active Ingredient: budesonide, glycopyrrolate, and formoterol fumarate
- Indication of Use: maintenance treatment of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)
- Route of Administration: Oral inhalation
- Dosage Form: Inhalation Aerosol
- Strength: 160 mcg/9 mcg/4.8 mcg per inhalation
- Dose and Frequency: 2 inhalations twice daily
- How Supplied: pressurized aluminum canister with an attached dose indicator, a white plastic actuator and mouthpiece, and a light grey dust cap
- Storage: controlled room temperature 20°C to 25°C (68°F to 77°F); excursions permitted to 15°C to 30°C (59°F to 86°F)

## 2 RESULTS

The following sections provide information obtained and considered in the overall evaluation of the proposed proprietary name, Breztri Aerosphere.

---

<sup>a</sup> Owens, L. Proprietary Name Review for Breztri Aerosphere NDA 212122. Silver Spring (MD): FDA, CDER, OSE, DMEPA (US); 2019 Mar 04. Panorama No.: 2018-27985900.

## **2.1 MISBRANDING ASSESSMENT**

The Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP) determined that Breztri Aerosphere would not misbrand the proposed product. The Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (DMEPA) and the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care (DPACC) concurred with the findings of OPDP's assessment for Breztri Aerosphere.

## **2.2 SAFETY ASSESSMENT**

The following aspects were considered in the safety evaluation of the proposed proprietary name, Breztri Aerosphere.

### ***2.2.1 United States Adopted Names (USAN) Search***

There is no USAN stem present in the proposed proprietary name<sup>b</sup>.

### ***2.2.2 Components of the Proposed Proprietary Name***

This proprietary name is comprised of a root name, Breztri and a modifier, Aerosphere. We previously evaluated the modifier in our review dated March 4, 2019.<sup>c</sup>

AstraZeneca indicated that the modifier, 'Aerosphere,' denotes the pressurized metered dose inhaler device (pMDI) and that the proposed product uses the same pMDI device as the approved product Bevespi Aerosphere™ (glycopyrrolate and formoterol fumarate). AstraZeneca did not provide data to support that the proposed modifier is understood by health care practitioners and patients; however, it is not uncommon for modifiers to be used to denote a specific device platform or packaging configuration (e.g., Advair Diskus and Flovent Diskus). We note that the Aerosphere device is not available on its own and we do not anticipate that the modifier 'Aerosphere' will be used on its own without the root name.

However, we also note that omission and oversight of modifiers is cited in literature as a common cause of medication error and was seen in the prescription study. If the modifier, Aerosphere, is omitted, there is no other Breztri product currently marketed from which this product will need to be distinguished from.

Therefore, we maintain that the proposed modifier, Aerosphere, is not misleading or vulnerable to confusion and find it acceptable for this product.

### ***2.2.3 Comments from Other Review Disciplines at Initial Review***

In response to the OSE, March 9, 2020 e-mail, the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care (DPACC) did not forward any comments or concerns relating to Breztri Aerosphere at the initial phase of the review.

---

<sup>b</sup> USAN stem search conducted on March 12, 2020.

<sup>c</sup> Owens, L. Proprietary Name Review for Breztri Aerosphere NDA 212122. Silver Spring (MD): FDA, CDER, OSE, DMEPA (US); 2019 Mar 04. Panorama No.: 2018-27985900.

#### 2.2.4 FDA Name Simulation Studies

Sixty-eight (n=68) practitioners participated in DMEPA’s prescription studies for Breztri Aerosphere. The responses did not overlap with any currently marketed products nor did the responses sound or look similar to any currently marketed products or any products in the pipeline. Appendix B contains the results from the prescription simulation studies.

#### 2.2.5 Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA) Search Results

Our POCA search<sup>d</sup> identified 92 names with the combined score of  $\geq 55\%$  or individual orthographic or phonetic score of  $\geq 70\%$ . We had identified and evaluated some of the names in our previous proprietary name review. We re-evaluated the previously identified names of concern considering any lessons learned from recent post-marketing experience, which may have altered our previous conclusion regarding the acceptability of the name. We note that none of the product characteristics have changed and we agree with the findings from our previous review for the names evaluated previously. Therefore, we identified four names not previously analyzed. These names are included in Table 1 below.

#### 2.2.6 Names Retrieved for Review Organized by Name Pair Similarity

Table 1 lists the number of names retrieved from our POCA search. These name pairs are organized as highly similar, moderately similar or low similarity for further evaluation.

<b>Table 1. Names Retrieved for Review Organized by Name Pair Similarity</b>	
<b>Similarity Category</b>	<b>Number of Names</b>
Highly similar name pair: combined match percentage score $\geq 70\%$	0
Moderately similar name pair: combined match percentage score $\geq 55\%$ to $\leq 69\%$	4
Low similarity name pair: combined match percentage score $\leq 54\%$	0

#### 2.2.7 Safety Analysis of Names with Potential Orthographic, Spelling, and Phonetic Similarities

Our analysis of the four names contained in Table 1 determined none of the names will pose a risk for confusion with Breztri Aerosphere as described in Appendices C through H.

#### 2.2.8 Communication of DMEPA’s Analysis at Midpoint of Review

DMEPA communicated our findings to the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care (DPACC) via e-mail on April 15, 2020. At that time we also requested additional information or concerns that could inform our review. Per e-mail correspondence from the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care (DPACC) on April 16, 2020, they stated no additional concerns with the proposed proprietary name, Breztri Aerosphere.

<sup>d</sup> POCA search conducted on March 12, 2020 in version 4.3.

### **3 CONCLUSION**

The proposed proprietary name, Breztri Aerosphere, is acceptable.

If you have any questions or need clarifications, please contact Cristina Attinello, OSE project manager, at 301-796-3986.

#### **3.1 COMMENTS TO ASTRAZENECA**

We have completed our review of the proposed proprietary name, Breztri Aerosphere, and have concluded that this name is acceptable.

If any of the proposed product characteristics as stated in your submission, received on January 23, 2020, are altered prior to approval of the marketing application, the name must be resubmitted for review.

## 4 REFERENCES

### 1. USAN Stems (<https://www.ama-assn.org/about/united-states-adopted-names-approved-stems>)

USAN Stems List contains all the recognized USAN stems.

### 2. *Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA)*

POCA is a system that FDA designed. As part of the name similarity assessment, POCA is used to evaluate proposed names via a phonetic and orthographic algorithm. The proposed proprietary name is converted into its phonemic representation before it runs through the phonetic algorithm. Likewise, an orthographic algorithm exists that operates in a similar fashion. POCA is publicly accessible.

### *Drugs@FDA*

Drugs@FDA is an FDA Web site that contains most of the drug products approved in the United States since 1939. The majority of labels, approval letters, reviews, and other information are available for drug products approved from 1998 to the present. Drugs@FDA contains official information about FDA-approved *brand name* and *generic drugs*; *therapeutic biological products*, *prescription* and *over-the-counter* human drugs; and *discontinued drugs* (see Drugs @ FDA Glossary of Terms, available at [http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/InformationOnDrugs/ucm079436.htm#ther\\_biological](http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/InformationOnDrugs/ucm079436.htm#ther_biological)).

### *RxNorm*

RxNorm contains the names of prescription and many OTC drugs available in the United States. RxNorm includes generic and branded:

- Clinical drugs – pharmaceutical products given to (or taken by) a patient with therapeutic or diagnostic intent
- Drug packs – packs that contain multiple drugs, or drugs designed to be administered in a specified sequence

Radiopharmaceuticals, contrast media, food, dietary supplements, and medical devices, such as bandages and crutches, are all out of scope for RxNorm

(<http://www.nlm.nih.gov/research/umls/rxnorm/overview.html>).

### *Division of Medication Errors Prevention and Analysis proprietary name consultation requests*

This is a list of proposed and pending names that is generated by the Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis from the Access database/tracking system.

## APPENDICES

### Appendix A

FDA's Proprietary Name Risk Assessment evaluates proposed proprietary names for misbranding and safety concerns.

1. **Misbranding Assessment:** For prescription drug products, OPDP assesses the name for misbranding concerns. For over-the-counter (OTC) drug products, the misbranding assessment of the proposed name is conducted by DNDP. OPDP or DNDP evaluates proposed proprietary names to determine if the name is false or misleading, such as by making misrepresentations with respect to safety or efficacy. For example, a fanciful proprietary name may misbrand a product by suggesting that it has some unique effectiveness or composition when it does not (21 CFR 201.10(c)(3)). OPDP or DNDP provides their opinion to DMEPA for consideration in the overall acceptability of the proposed proprietary name.
2. **Safety Assessment:** The safety assessment is conducted by DMEPA, and includes the following:
  - a. **Preliminary Assessment:** We consider inclusion of USAN stems or other characteristics that when incorporated into a proprietary name may cause or contribute to medication errors (i.e., dosing interval, dosage form/route of administration, medical or product name abbreviations, names that include or suggest the composition of the drug product, etc.) See prescreening checklist below in Table 2\*. DMEPA defines a medication error as any preventable event that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm while the medication is in the control of the health care professional, patient, or consumer. <sup>e</sup>

---

<sup>e</sup> National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention.  
<http://www.nccmerp.org/aboutMedErrors.html>. Last accessed 10/11/2007.

**\*Table 2- Prescreening Checklist for Proposed Proprietary Name**

	Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to any of these questions indicate a potential area of concern that should be carefully evaluated as described in this guidance.
<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Is the proposed name obviously similar in spelling and pronunciation to other names?</b>
	Proprietary names should not be similar in spelling or pronunciation to proprietary names, established names, or ingredients of other products.
<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Are there inert or inactive ingredients referenced in the proprietary name?</b>
	Proprietary names should not incorporate any reference to an inert or inactive ingredient in a way that might create an impression that the ingredient's value is greater than its true functional role in the formulation (21 CFR 201.10(c)(4)).
<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Does the proprietary name include combinations of active ingredients?</b>
	Proprietary names of fixed combination drug products should not include or suggest the name of one or more, but not all, of its active ingredients (see 21 CFR 201.6(b)).
<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Is there a United States Adopted Name (USAN) stem in the proprietary name?</b>
	Proprietary names should not incorporate a USAN stem in the position that USAN designates for the stem.
<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Is this proprietary name used for another product that does not share at least one common active ingredient?</b>
	Drug products that do not contain at least one common active ingredient should not use the same (root) proprietary name.
<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Is this a proprietary name of a discontinued product?</b>
	Proprietary names should not use the proprietary name of a discontinued product if that discontinued drug product does not contain the same active ingredients.

- b. Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA): Following the preliminary screening of the proposed proprietary name, DMEPA staff evaluates the proposed name against potentially similar names. In order to identify names with potential similarity to the proposed proprietary name, DMEPA enters the proposed proprietary name in POCA and queries the name against the following drug reference databases, Drugs@fda, CernerRxNorm, and names in the review pipeline using a 55% threshold in POCA. DMEPA reviews the combined orthographic and phonetic matches and group the names into one of the following three categories:
- Highly similar pair: combined match percentage score  $\geq 70\%$ .
  - Moderately similar pair: combined match percentage score  $\geq 55\%$  to  $\leq 69\%$ .
  - Low similarity: combined match percentage score  $\leq 54\%$ .

Using the criteria outlined in the check list (Table 3-5) that corresponds to each of the three categories (highly similar pair, moderately similar pair, and low similarity), DMEPA evaluates the name pairs to determine the acceptability or non-acceptability of a proposed proprietary name. The intent of these checklists is to increase the transparency and predictability of the safety determination of whether a proposed name is vulnerable to confusion from a look-alike or sound-alike perspective. Each bullet below corresponds to the name similarity category cross-references the respective table that addresses criteria that DMEPA uses to determine whether a name presents a safety concern from a look-alike or sound-alike perspective.

- For highly similar names, differences in product characteristics often cannot mitigate the risk of a medication error, including product differences such as strength and dose. Thus, proposed proprietary names that have a combined score of  $\geq 70$  percent are at risk for a look-alike sound-alike confusion which is an area of concern (See Table 3).
- Moderately similar names are further evaluated to identify the presence of attributes that are known to cause name confusion.
  - Name attributes: We note that the beginning of the drug name plays a significant role in contributing to confusion. Additionally, drug name pairs that start with the same first letter and contain a shared letter string of at least 3 letters in both names are major contributing factor in the confusion of drug names<sup>f</sup>. We evaluate all moderately similar names retrieved from POCA to identify the above attributes. These names are further evaluated to identify overlapping or similar strengths or doses.
  - Product attributes: Moderately similar names of products that have overlapping or similar strengths or doses represent an area for concern for FDA. The dose and strength information is often located in close proximity to the drug name itself on prescriptions and medication orders, and the information can be an important factor that either increases or decreases the potential for confusion between similarly named drug pairs. The ability of other product characteristics to mitigate confusion (e.g., route, frequency, dosage form) may be limited when the strength or dose overlaps. DMEPA reviews such names further, to determine whether sufficient differences exist to prevent confusion. (See Table 4).
- Names with low similarity that have no overlap or similarity in strength and dose are generally acceptable (See Table 5) unless there are data to suggest that the name might be vulnerable to confusion (e.g., prescription simulation study suggests that the name is likely to be misinterpreted as a marketed product). In these instances, we would reassign a low similarity name to the moderate similarity category and review according to the moderately similar name pair checklist.

---

<sup>f</sup> Shah, M, Merchant, L, Characteristics That May Help in the Identification of Potentially Confusing Proprietary Drug Names. Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science, September 2016

- c. FDA Prescription Simulation Studies: DMEPA staff also conducts a prescription simulation studies using FDA health care professionals.

Four separate studies are conducted within the Centers of the FDA for the proposed proprietary name to determine the degree of confusion of the proposed proprietary name with marketed U.S. drug names (proprietary and established) due to similarity in visual appearance with handwritten prescriptions, verbal pronunciation of the drug name or during computerized provider order entry. The studies employ healthcare professionals (pharmacists, physicians, and nurses), and attempts to simulate the prescription ordering process. The primary Safety Evaluator uses the results to identify vulnerability of the proposed name to be misinterpreted by healthcare practitioners during written, verbal, or electronic prescribing.

In order to evaluate the potential for misinterpretation of the proposed proprietary name during written, verbal, or electronic prescribing of the name, written inpatient medication orders, written outpatient prescriptions, verbal orders, and electronic orders are simulated, each consisting of a combination of marketed and unapproved drug products, including the proposed name.

- d. Comments from Other Review Disciplines: DMEPA requests the Office of New Drugs (OND) and/or Office of Generic Drugs (OGD), ONDQA or OBP for their comments or concerns with the proposed proprietary name, ask for any clinical issues that may impact the DMEPA review during the initial phase of the name review. Additionally, when applicable, at the same time DMEPA requests concurrence/non-concurrence with OPDP's decision on the name. The primary Safety Evaluator addresses any comments or concerns in the safety evaluator's assessment.

The OND/OGD Regulatory Division is contacted a second time following our analysis of the proposed proprietary name. At this point, DMEPA conveys their decision to accept or reject the name. The OND or OGD Regulatory Division is requested to provide any further information that might inform DMEPA's final decision on the proposed name.

Additionally, other review disciplines opinions such as ONDQA or OBP may be considered depending on the proposed proprietary name.

When provided, DMEPA considers external proprietary name studies conducted by or for the Applicant/Sponsor and incorporates the findings of these studies into the overall risk assessment.

The DMEPA primary reviewer assigned to evaluate the proposed proprietary name is responsible for considering the collective findings, and provides an overall risk assessment of the proposed proprietary name.

**Table 3. Highly Similar Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined Orthographic and Phonetic score is  $\geq 70\%$ ).**

Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to some of these questions suggest that the pattern of orthographic or phonetic differences in the names may render the names less likely to confusion, provided that the pair does not share a common strength or dose.

<u>Orthographic Checklist</u>		<u>Phonetic Checklist</u>	
<b>Y/N</b>	Do the names begin with different first letters?  <i>Note that even when names begin with different first letters, certain letters may be confused with each other when scripted.</i>	<b>Y/N</b>	Do the names have different number of syllables?
<b>Y/N</b>	Are the lengths of the names dissimilar* when scripted?  <i>*FDA considers the length of names different if the names differ by two or more letters.</i>	<b>Y/N</b>	Do the names have different syllabic stresses?
<b>Y/N</b>	Considering variations in scripting of some letters (such as z and f), is there a different number or placement of upstroke/downstroke letters present in the names?	<b>Y/N</b>	Do the syllables have different phonologic processes, such as vowel reduction, assimilation, or deletion?
<b>Y/N</b>	Is there different number or placement of cross-stroke or dotted letters present in the names?	<b>Y/N</b>	Across a range of dialects, are the names consistently pronounced differently?
<b>Y/N</b>	Do the infixes of the name appear dissimilar when scripted?		
<b>Y/N</b>	Do the suffixes of the names appear dissimilar when scripted?		

**Table 4: Moderately Similar Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined score is  $\geq 55\%$  to  $\leq 69\%$ ).**

Step 1	<p>Review the DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION and HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING sections of the prescribing information (or for OTC drugs refer to the Drug Facts label) to determine if strengths and doses of the name pair overlap or are very similar. Different strengths and doses for products whose names are moderately similar may decrease the risk of confusion between the moderately similar name pairs. Name pairs that have overlapping or similar strengths or doses have a higher potential for confusion and should be evaluated further (see Step 2). Because the strength or dose could be used to express an order or prescription for a particular drug product, overlap in one or both of these components would be reason for further evaluation.</p> <p>For single strength products, also consider circumstances where the strength may not be expressed.</p> <p>For any i.e. drug products comprised of more than one active ingredient, consider whether the strength or dose may be expressed using only one of the components.</p> <p>To determine whether the strengths or doses are similar to your proposed product, consider the following list of factors that may increase confusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Alternative expressions of dose: 5 mL may be listed in the prescribing information, but the dose may be expressed in metric weight (e.g., 500 mg) or in non-metric units (e.g., 1 tsp, 1 tablet/capsule). Similarly, a strength or dose of 1000 mg may be expressed, in practice, as 1 g, or vice versa.</li><li>• Trailing or deleting zeros: 10 mg is similar in appearance to 100 mg which may potentiate confusion between a name pair with moderate similarity.</li><li>• Similar sounding doses: 15 mg is similar in sound to 50 mg</li></ul>
Step 2	<p>Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to some of these questions suggest that the pattern of orthographic or phonetic differences in the names may reduce the likelihood of confusion for moderately similar names <b>with</b> overlapping or similar strengths or doses.</p>

	<p>Orthographic Checklist (Y/N to each question)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do the names begin with different first letters? Note that even when names begin with different first letters, certain letters may be confused with each other when scripted.</li> <li>• Are the lengths of the names dissimilar* when scripted? *FDA considers the length of names different if the names differ by two or more letters.</li> <li>• Considering variations in scripting of some letters (such as <i>z</i> and <i>f</i>), is there a different number or placement of upstroke/downstroke letters present in the names?</li> <li>• Is there different number or placement of cross-stroke or dotted letters present in the names?</li> <li>• Do the infixes of the name appear dissimilar when scripted?</li> <li>• Do the suffixes of the names appear dissimilar when scripted?</li> </ul>	<p>Phonetic Checklist (Y/N to each question)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do the names have different number of syllables?</li> <li>• Do the names have different syllabic stresses?</li> <li>• Do the syllables have different phonologic processes, such vowel reduction, assimilation, or deletion?</li> <li>• Across a range of dialects, are the names consistently pronounced differently?</li> </ul>
--	--	---

**Table 5: Low Similarity Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined score is  $\leq 54\%$ ).**

Names with low similarity are generally acceptable unless there are data to suggest that the name might be vulnerable to confusion (e.g., prescription simulation study suggests that the name is likely to be misinterpreted as a marketed product). In these instances, we would reassign a low similarity name to the moderate similarity category and review according to the moderately similar name pair checklist.

**Appendix B: Prescription Simulation Samples and Results**

**Figure 1. Breztri Aerosphere Study (Conducted on March 13, 2020)**

Handwritten Medication Order/Prescription	Verbal Prescription
<p><u>Medication Order:</u>                      Breztri Aerosphere 2 inhalations PO BID</p>	Breztri Aerosphere UAD #1
<p><u>Outpatient Prescription:</u>                      Breztri aerosphere                      UAD                      #1</p>	
<p><b>CPOE Study Sample (displayed as sans-serif, 12-point, bold font)</b></p>	
<p>Breztri Aerosphere</p>	

**Study Name: Breztri Aerosphere**

As of Date 3/18/2020

209 People Received Study  
68 People Responded

Study Name: Breztri Aerosphere

	<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>	
<b>INTERPRETATION</b>	<b>OUTPATIENT</b>	<b>CPOE</b>	<b>VOICE</b>	<b>INPATIENT</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	
BESTRI AEROSPHERE	0	0	1	0	1	
BOREZTRI AEROSPHERE	6	0	0	0	6	
BOREZTRU AEROSPHERE	1	0	0	0	1	
BOSEZTRI	1	0	0	0	1	
BRESFREE AEROSPHERE	0	0	1	0	1	
BRESTRE AEROFIR	0	0	1	0	1	
BRESTREE AEROSPHERE	0	0	2	0	2	
BRETREE AEROSPHERE	0	0	1	0	1	
BREZTREE AEROSPHERE	0	0	3	0	3	
BREZTREE AIROSPHERE	0	0	1	0	1	
BREZTRI	1	0	0	0	1	
BREZTRI AEROSPHERE	5	25	4	12	46	
BREZTRI AROSPHERE	0	0	0	1	1	
BRISTRI AEROSPHERE	0	0	1	0	1	
ZOVTREE	0	0	1	0	1	

**Appendix C:** Highly Similar Names (e.g., combined POCA score is  $\geq 70\%$ ) – N/A

**Appendix D:** Moderately Similar Names (e.g., combined POCA score is  $\geq 55\%$  to  $\leq 69\%$ ) with no overlap or numerical similarity in Strength and/or Dose – N/A

**Appendix E:** Moderately Similar Names (e.g., combined POCA score is  $\geq 55\%$  to  $\leq 69\%$ ) with overlap or numerical similarity in Strength and/or Dose

No.	<b>Proposed name:</b> Breztri Aerosphere <b>Established name:</b> budesonide, glycopyrrolate, and formoterol fumarate <b>Dosage form:</b> Inhalation Aerosol <b>Strength(s):</b> 160 mcg/9 mcg/4.8 mcg per inhalation <b>Usual Dose:</b> 2 inhalations twice daily	<b>POCA Score (%)</b>	<b>Prevention of Failure Mode</b>  <b>In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names</b>
1.	(b) (4) ***	60	(b) (4)

No.	<b>Proposed name:</b> Breztri Aerosphere <b>Established name:</b> budesonide, glycopyrrolate, and formoterol fumarate <b>Dosage form:</b> Inhalation Aerosol <b>Strength(s):</b> 160 mcg/9 mcg/4.8 mcg per inhalation <b>Usual Dose:</b> 2 inhalations twice daily	<b>POCA  Score (%)</b>	<b>Prevention of Failure Mode</b>  <b>In the conditions outlined below, the  following combination of factors, are  expected to minimize the risk of  confusion between these two names</b>
			(b) (4)
2.	(b) (4)		

**Appendix F:** Low Similarity Names (e.g., combined POCA score is  $\leq 54\%$ ) – N/A

**Appendix G:** Names not likely to be confused or not used in usual practice settings for the reasons described.

No.	Name	<b>POCA  Score  (%)</b>	<b>Failure preventions</b>
3.	(b) (4)		
4.	(b) (4)		

**Appendix H:** Names not likely to be confused due to absence of attributes that are known to cause name confusion<sup>g</sup>. – N/A

<sup>g</sup> Shah, M, Merchant, L, Chan, I, and Taylor, K. Characteristics That May Help in the Identification of Potentially Confusing Proprietary Drug Names. Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science, September 2016

-----  
**This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all electronic signatures for this electronic record.**  
-----

/s/  
-----

LISSA C OWENS  
04/16/2020 09:10:35 AM

ASHLEIGH V LOWERY  
04/16/2020 09:55:19 AM